

Assessment comments

Example 1: Birthday card generator

Subject: DP Computer Science

Component: Internal Assessment—The computational solution

Assessment criteria	Marks awarded	Marks available	Comments
Criterion A: Problem specification	3	4	Problem scenario—This is quite well written, but it includes limited measurable requirements. This strand achieves in the upper descriptor. Success criteria (SC)—These are appropriate but not worded well. This strand achieves in the upper descriptor. Computational context—This strand is somewhat generic and achieves in the lower descriptor.
Criterion B: Planning	3	4	Decomposition—This section includes a reasonably good decomposition of the problem scenario and achieves in the upper descriptor. Plan—It includes a reasonable plan, with vague chronology, which does not clearly address the SC. This achieves in the lower descriptor.
Criterion C: System overview	5	6	System model—The system model is quite good but incomplete due to the lack of file interactions. It achieves in the middle descriptor. Algorithms—The algorithms are very clear and achieve in the upper descriptor. Testing strategy—The testing strategy is clearly described and aligns with SC. This achieves in the upper descriptor.
Criterion D: Development	10	12	Even though this section has minor issues, all four strands fall within the highest descriptor. Full functionality is shown; the techniques are fully appropriate but not necessarily elegant. There are clear elements of evaluation and justification, even though more depth would be welcome.
Criterion E: Evaluation	3	4	There are clear elements of evaluation of the success criteria and justification of improvements, but a bit more depth and detail are expected.
Total	24	30	A basic task, but challenging enough. It is very logically organized and well-documented with good evidence of testing. Code is clear and well documented.