

Assessment comments

Example 3: Chat room

Subject: DP Computer Science

Component: Internal Assessment—The computational solution

Assessment criteria	Marks awarded	Marks available	Comments
Criterion A: Problem specification	3	4	The problem scenario is clear and includes some measurable requirements, which puts achievement in this strand at the upper descriptor. The success criteria (SC) are appropriate and achieve in the upper descriptor. The computational context is quite limited and should have addressed networking to some extent. This strand achieves in the lower descriptor.
Criterion B: Planning	2	4	The decomposition is clear and linked to the scenario. It achieves in the upper descriptor. The plan is quite limited (designing is not part of the chronology), and it does not address the SC.
Criterion C: System overview	4	6	The system model is somewhat incomplete. A few algorithms are included that that lead to a partially functional product. The testing strategy aligns with all the SC but does not address extreme or abnormal data. The best fit is the middle descriptor.
Criterion D: Development	7	12	The first three strands achieve in the 6–9 descriptor. Partial functionality is shown without proper testing. Some techniques have been demonstrated. There are some elements of explanation of implementation choices. The work includes very limited evidence of testing in the video, and the effectiveness of the testing strategy remains vaguely stated.
Criterion E: Evaluation	2	4	The success criteria have been stated as met, and there is not enough evidence of evaluation to access the upper descriptor. Two realistic improvements have been partially justified, but the “prettier interface” is trivial.
Total	18	30	An interesting project that appears to be missing documentation of the designing stage and proper evidence of systematic testing including extreme/abnormal data. The video is too short to properly document the full working of the solution, and the code has not been properly annotated.