

Assessment comments

Example 8: Flappy bird

Subject: DP Computer Science

Component: Internal Assessment—The computational solution

Assessment criteria	Marks awarded	Marks available	Comments
Criterion A: Problem specification	2	4	Problem scenario is outlined (at best) without measurable outcomes. Success criteria are sufficient, specific and testable. Computational context is almost non-existent.
Criterion B: Planning	2	4	Decomposition is reasonable but very limited. Plan has some chronology, does not address the evaluating stage, and it references a few of the SC in a very limited manner.
Criterion C: System overview	3	6	System model is very limited. Algorithms have been constructed that allow the product to function. The testing strategy aligns with the SC, but it is still quite limited.
Criterion D: Development	5	12	The product has partial functionality, but it is underdeveloped. It uses some appropriate techniques. The work vaguely outlines a few of the choices made to implement the product. The documentation does not highlight key elements of code. The effectiveness of the testing strategy has largely been addressed in a generic manner, and this strand achieves in the lowest descriptor.
Criterion E: Evaluation	1	4	The success criteria are stated as met. The work described two improvements, but they are trivial or contrived for the current state of this product.
Total	13	30	This project is barely more than an academic exercise (a limited replication of an existing product). It includes some documentation that addresses the assessment criteria in a superficial manner. The very short video shows an underdeveloped product. Code has been included without comments.